|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name of author(s) |  |
| Title of reviewed paper | Entrepreneurship, Innovation, Economic Freedom – Do Objective and Subjective Data Reflect the Same Tendencies? |
| Date of submission | 2016 01 19 |
| Date of the due revision  | 2016 06 17 |

**Author’s Statement**

I have read all reviews vary carefully and have tried to do my best to increase the quality of the paper by including the following changes into my original paper:

|  |
| --- |
| **Tha changes requested by the reviewer(s), which have been made:****(Please provide the brief enumaration of changes you applied in the papper)** |
| Include: research methodology section,literature correctly summarized,the appropriate interpretation of the data and references,the results discussed in details,the findings and/or the statistical analysis,he findings in the article compared to findings of other authors,include implications and recommendations for practice,this part(conclusions) include research limitations,add the recent literature,literature detailed comments,doesn't mention about the importance of the research, its purpose and significance in introduction, add a brief description of methods that were used for data analysis in the paper,Was it the model without constant,Sources for tables and figures,needs further technical adjustments.etc. We have changed in this paper, I gratefully acknowledge five anonymous reviewers who have provided their valuable suggestions and comments. |
| **The suggested corrections by the reviewer(s), which – as the author – I don’t agree:****(Please provide the brief justification, why you didn’t include these remarks)** |
| no |
| **Other changes which have been made and changed the original version of the paper:****(Please provide the brief enumaration of changes you applied in the papper)** |
| Add recent literatures(appeared in the text) in the references part of paper. |